

Irradiation and thermal processing of silicates in the laboratory: Insights in extraterrestrial grains properties

Z. Djouadi*, L. d'Hendecourt, A. Jones, J. Borg, F. Grossemy, D. Deboffle Institut d'Astrophysique Spatiale , Orsay, France.

H. Leroux, C. Davoisne Laboratoire de Structure et Propriétés de l'Etat Solide, Lille, France.

Outline of the talk

Context and motivations of the study
 Dust life-cycle

Methodology
 Ionic irradiations
 Thermal annealing: Experimental protocol

> Some results

> Astrophysical implications

> Summary

Context and motivations

Dust: Responsible of starlight extinction in the lines sight towards distant stars.

Why study dust?

Dust ~ 1% of the total mass in protoplanetary discs.

- Represents the building blocks of planets.
- Probes the physical conditions in the different environments

How is dust observed ?

Only by spectroscopy: UV, Visible, UV.

- UV: chemical composition (Carbon)
- Visible: size (modelisation of the extinction curves)
- IR: vibrational: chemical and structural composition (crystal/amorphous)
- far IR: lattice vibrations

Dust life cycle



Silicate dust

> Definitions of Silicate:

- mineralogical = solid with base unit of Si-O bonds
- astronomical = presence of Si-O bonds (~ 10 and 20 μ m).
- > Dominant forms of Silicates:
 - Olivine: Mg_{2x}Fe_{2-2x}SiO₄
 - Forsterite: Mg₂SiO₄
 - Pyroxene: Mg_xFe_{1-x}SiO₃
 - Enstatite: MgSiO₃

Some ISO spectra.... The crystalline revolution

Until 90's dust was always thought to be amorphous in space. But..



Date: 02 Feb 2000 , Satellite: ISO, Copyright: ESA



In the ISM: Kemper et al, 2004.

Nature and degree of crystallinity of silicates

	Silicate type	Structure
Old stars	Olivine Pyroxene	Amorphous ~ 15 %
Diffuse ISM	85% Olivine + 15% Pyroxene	< 0.4 %
Proto-stars	Pyroxene	Amorphous
proto planetary disks	Pyroxene type	20-25 %

Subsequent questions.....

Why are there no crystalline silicates in the ISM?
 Since the silicates are amorphous in the ISM, how do we explain the presence of crystalline silicates in the young stars? comets? IDPs?
 How do we explain the chemical modifications of silicates in different environments.
 To it possible to reproduce some of the microstructure of the TDPs in

 \succ Is it possible to reproduce some of the microstructure of the IDPs in the laboratory.

Methodology@IAS

From astronomical observations and analyses of IDPs in the lab

Laboratory experiments

- Synthesis of analogs
- Irradiations (IS), Thermal annealings (CS)
- Characterization

↓

Comparison lab results/observations

IR ⇒ Direct comparison with astronomical data and with IDPs (natural dust analyzed by IR microspectroscopy)
 + TEM, AFM ⇒ microstructure, chemical analyses.

I/ Ionic irradiations

Experimental conditions

- <u>Silicates</u>: Olivine: Forsterite $MgSiO_4$ Pyroxene: Enstatite (Mg,Fe,SiO₃), Diopside ($CaMgSi_2O_6$)
- TEM sections , powder embedded in CsI.
- Irradiations: Het et Ht at energies few keV and fluences ~ 10^{16} -10^{18} ions/cm^2
- IR spectroscopy and TEM analyses

I-a/ Ionic irradiations: IR spectra

Enstatite, diopside



Demyk et al. A&A 2004

I-b/ Ionic irradiations: TEM results





TEM view of an olivine sample irradiated with He⁺ *ions at 10 keV and a fluence of 10*¹⁸ *ions/cm*²



TEM: Lille

Microstructural & chemical study

- Amorphisation
- Composition modification (loss of Mg & O)
- Induced porosity (bubbles)

K. Demyk (IAS:2000), Ph. Carrez (Lille: 2002)

Summary of principal results of irradiation:

Olivine: Demyk et al. 2001, Carrez et al. 2002: Irradiations He+, 4-10 keV Pyroxene: Demyk et al. 2004: Irradiations H+ (10 keV) et He+ (20-50 keV) Irradiation in ISM: Amorphisation + chemical modifications.

50.....

How do we explain the presence of the crystalline silicates in comets, in DPs, in protoplanetary discs. In quite cold environments in general?

Hypothesis: Irradiation = ionic implantation \Rightarrow Modification of the thermodynamical properties of the sample

Experiments for the determination of the <u>re-crystallization</u> activation energy of pre-irradiated samples

II/ Thermal annealing: Experimental protocol



Analog synthesis





Advantages:

- Thin film ~ 100 nm on a diamond disc ~ 3 mm diameter \Rightarrow High surface-to-volume ratio.

- Diamond: resists to high temperatures and transparent to IR in the silicate regions.

Disadvantage

- impossible to couple IR measurements with TEM analyses.

Solution:

- remove the film from the diamond to a suitable substrat for TEM.

Annealing set-up

In a tubular furnace under vacuum (~ 10⁻⁷ mbar)



<u>Aim:</u> Simulation of the interstellar amorphous silicates incorporation in the protoplanetary disks.

IR spectroscopy

IR spectroscopy by transmission

[7000, 400] cm⁻¹

1.5-25 µm



II-a/ Thermal annealing: IR spectra

Typical IR spectra

Bands evolution with annealing time: 750°C



II-b/ Thermal annealing: TEM analyses

300 nm



1/ Presence of metallic beads of ~ 2-50 nm.

2/ Formation of amorphous silicates free of iron. (in accordance with the observations)

3/ For the samples partially crystallized: formation of forsterite cristals+Fe beads.

 \Rightarrow The Fe initially present in form of <u>FeO has been reduced</u>.

$$FeO \rightarrow Fe + \frac{1}{2}O_{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow FeO + C \rightarrow Fe + CO$$

$$C + \frac{1}{2}O_{2} \leftrightarrow CO$$

C. Davoisne, Z. Djouadi et al. (A&A, 448, L1, 2006)

<u>Summary of principal results of annealing:</u>

• Crystallization enthalpy is not modified after ionic irradiation \Rightarrow Redistribution of the matter between inner hot regions and outer cooler ones.

Formation of forsterite (Mg rich silicates) in accordance with the observations

 Locking iron as metallic particles within the silicates explains why astronomical silicates always appear observationally Fe-poor (metallic Fe has no IR signature)

Astrophysical implications: a/ GEMS formation scenario

- GEMS= Glass Embedded with Metal and sulfides: 'intrigious' metallic inclusions in anhydrous IDPs.
- No consensus to explain their origin, and their formation process.
- We propose a possible simple scheme for their formation : they are probably modified in the protoplanetary disks in reducing conditions.



Astrophysical implications:

b/ Grain alignment (?)

 $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Polarized signal of the starlight \Rightarrow IS grains are aspherical and aligned by a local magnetic field

• 1951: DG model of spinning interstellar grains but considering the random collisions with molecules, gas atoms. Impossible to maintain the grain alignment in a ~ μ G.

• 1969: Jones & Spitzer and 1978: Duley argued DG efficient if « Fe inclusions» are present. SPFM alignment.

• 1985: Mathis. IS grains = aggregates of tinier particles, some of them SPFM.

• 1995: P.G. Martin, GEMS = solution to this old and mystery problem..

- Fe(Ni)S inclusions (due to irradiation (Bradley 1994)) = SPFM.
- Any C-rich IS grain wouldn't be aligned (Ok with Mathis 1986).
- GEMS are aspherical 1.4:1 (kim & Martin 1995)

The problem of IS grains alignment is still unresolved !!. We propose that these grains contain appreciable amounts of metallic Fe nano-phase

Summary

- Experimental set-up to process synthetic grain materials
 - Simulation in the laboratory of interstellar and circumstellar physical conditions
 - \Rightarrow Reproduction of some physical and structural properties of dust
- Formation of Fe-Ni beads (GEMS-like structure)
 - \Rightarrow Possible explanation for the grain alignment.

The magnetic susceptibility measurements in our sample are under progress

The end, and Thanks for your attention !!